

I. REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

These remarks are submitted in response to the Office Action of January 10, 2008 (Office Action). As this response is timely filed within the 3-month shortened statutory period, no fee is believed due. As a result of this Amendment, Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 14, 18, and 21 have been amended. Claims 1-21 remain in the Application.

On page 2 of the Office Action, Claims 1-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Motorola iDEN Digital Multi-Service Data-Capable Phone "i730 Phone User's Guide" 2003 (hereinafter Motorola).

II. Applicant's Invention

It may be helpful to reiterate certain aspects of Applicant's invention prior to addressing the references cited in the Office Action. In one embodiment of the invention, for example, a method of activating a client/server application in a client/server environment, comprising the steps of selectively highlighting the client/server application among a plurality of client/server applications on a user interface on a client device wirelessly linked to a server, and launching and activating the client/server application and connecting to the server upon pushing a button on the client device.

III. Claim Objections

The examiner objected the listing of a link on page 5 of the specification. The "link" is just an example of an address and does not actually have an embedded hyperlink since the document sent to the PTO was a PDF document.

The examiner also objected to the term "machine readable storage" as recited in claims 18-21. The Examiner should note that support for this claim language can be found in paragraph [0008] and through out the specification and figures where there is a reference to a memory.

IV. The Claims Define Over the Prior Art

Claims 1-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Motorola iDEN Digital Multi-Service Data-Capable Phone "i730 Phone User's Guide" 2003 (hereinafter Motorola).

Although the i730 Phone User's Guide (Phone Guide) includes some basic elements of the claimed embodiments, the Applicant respectfully submits that this reference fails to teach, suggest, mention or contemplate the ability to selectively select a client/server application among a plurality of client/server applications on a User Interface of a client device such as a phone and further have the ability to launch and activate the client/server application by just pushing a button on the client device. The Phone Guide merely discusses the selection among phone connection types that can either be a typical cellular phone call routed through a switching station or a "Private Call" or dispatch type call that is again routed through a switching station. Neither of these should be considered a client/server application. If there is any application discussed in the i730 phone guide that might remotely be considered a client/server application, it is certainly not launched and activated as contemplated by the Applicant's embodiments as claimed.

With respect to claim 2, the Phone Guide also fails to discuss client/server applications or a selection of client/server applications from a phonebook menu of client/server applications. With respect to claim 5, the Phone Guide does not discuss connecting to a client/server application by pushing a push-to-talk (PTT) button. The PTT in the Phone Guide is used for a PTT call in the Private Call or dispatch mode. With respect to Claim 6, once again the response that emulates a PTT response as Applicant is claiming is from a client/server application which is not disclosed or suggested in the Phone Guide.

Claim 7, as originally drafted, includes language regarding the client/server application and therefore does not need amending. The language found on page 107 of the Phone Guide discusses making connections to a wireless network which in the case of either a Phone Call or Private call is again a connection that is routed through a switching station. These are not client/server applications. Furthermore, the launching

or activation of a Phone Call or a Private Call as described in the Phone Guide takes more than a simple activation of an input device such as a PTT button. Selection of digits corresponding to a phone number or private ID is needed to make a connection through a switching station to complete a connection. As previously noted, this is not a client/server application.

With respect to Claim 11 and 14, the Java Apps discussed on Page 55 of the Phone Guide are for Java Speaker and Java Earpiece applications which are not client server applications. These are applications local to the client device. To the best of Applicant's knowledge, at the time of the i730 Phone Guide, there were no Java Client/Server Applications that were selectable using a PTT button.

The same deficiencies in the Phone Guide also apply to claims 18-21 as amended. Since the Phone Guide fails to teach or even suggest, mention or contemplate use of client/server application and selection of such application using a button such as a PTT button in the context recited and as otherwise recited, the Applicant respectfully believes claims 1-21 overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the i730 Phone Guide by Motorola.

IV. CONCLUSION

Applicants believe that this application is now in full condition for allowance. Allowance is therefore respectfully requested. Applicants request that the Examiner call the undersigned if clarification is needed on any matter within this Amendment, or if the Examiner believes a telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of the subject application to completion.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 9, 2008

/Pablo Meles/
Pablo Meles, Reg. No. 33,739
AKERMAN SENTERFITT
Customer No. 55794
Post Office Box 3188
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188
Telephone: (954) 463-2700